Finance/Personnel Committee-Dennis Thurow Committee Rm #205 May 26, 2020

**Members Present:** Petty, Sloan, Kent

**Absent**:

Others Present: Mayor Palm, Adm. Downing, Atty. Truman, B. Zeman, M. Schauf, M. Hardy, T.

Pinion, C. Haggard, P. Cannon

<u>Call to Order</u> —Ald. Petty called the meeting to order at 6:15 p.m. noting compliance with the Open Meeting Law. Moved by Sloan, seconded by Kent to approve the minutes of May 12, 2020 and carried unanimously. Moved by Kent, seconded by Sloan to approve the agenda and carried unanimously.

## **Action Items**

- **a)** <u>Accounts Payable</u> Moved by Sloan, seconded by Kent to recommend to Council for approval of the accounts payable for \$373,159.36. Motion carried unanimously.
- b) Pierce Park Usage M. Hardy explained that Sauk Prairie High School had a referendum that recently passed and included the renovation of their athletic field. Because of this, they will not have access to their softball fields next spring. They are asking if we would be willing to let them use 2 fields at Pierce Park for their home games, both JV and Varsity next spring for a total of 9 nights. The Parks & Recreation Commission is in favor of this with the following stipulations: all of our costs will be covered and that the Sauk Prairie High School staff be responsible for the field labor, any needed supplies, and opening and shutting down the field. The City will provide access to the restrooms. They have agreed to pay the City \$200 for use of the field in 2021. Ald. Petty questioned any liability the City may have for the participating athletes. M. Hardy stated that this would be similar to any park rental. Atty. Truman noted that our agreement covers this and we are protected by recreational immunity per State Statues; this provides us with quite a bit of liability protection. Moved by Sloan, seconded by Kent to recommend to Council for approval. Motion carried unanimously.
- c) <u>Draper Street Reconstruction</u> T. Pinion informed the Committee that we received a \$425,000 grant that was awarded as part of the state's Local Road Improvement Program. We applied for Draper Street under the discretionary program and competed statewide with other municipalities. Of the 20 projects that were funded this year, ours was the second largest. The \$425,000 is 50% of the total estimated project cost of \$850,000. Because of the construction schedule for the Middle School, we were originally looking at doing this work next year in state fiscal year 2021. Given the fact that the contractors are able to start early, T. Pinion was successful in persuading the DOT to move the funding up a year. The funds will be available July 1, 2020. Moved by Sloan, seconded by Petty to recommend to Council for approval. Motion carried unanimously.
- **d)** <u>Fee Schedule Amendment</u> Chief Schauf explained that the body cameras worn by officers create a large file that requires a great deal of storage. Because of the increased size of the videos, his department needs the option of sending these out by USB Drive for records requests made by the public. Moved by Sloan, seconded by Kent to recommend to Council for approval. Motion carried unanimously.
- e) <u>TID 10 Creation</u> P. Cannon explained that the intent is to do an overlay over TID 8. The main reason to do this is so we can get a longer lifetime. They may also look at expanding that TID a little bit. The contract with Ehler's would allow them to do some financial analysis to ensure the feasibility of the TID District. Ald. Sloan questioned the driving force behind the creation of the TID. P. Cannon explained that the developer would like to do a Pay-Go aspect of the TID District. To be able to do that, we looked at it based upon the value of the property. We figured at 10 year it would cover what they were asking for based upon the value and still give us a little funding for doing some improvements within the TID District. P. Cannon confirms that this includes the project on Lynn. T. Pinion informed the Committee that TID 8 was created in 2006, by the time this project is built and full value goes on the tax roll we will only have about 8 years to work with

in terms of the remaining life of the TID. In order to provide the incentive necessary to incent this development, 8 years really is not enough. The creation of TID 10 starts with a new 27-year timetable and allows ample time to take advantage of not only the incentive to recover all of our costs but also hopefully incent some additional development within that district. The collection period of the TID is 27 years. P. Canon explains that the preliminary projections indicate that the value of this proposed development would be sufficient to cover the 10 year Pay-Go. We would then have the ability then to have the revenue coming in to that TID District and we are also going to set it up to be a donor district which will allow it to help out other TID districts if needed. Moved by Sloan, seconded by Kent to recommend to Council for approval. Motion carried unanimously.

## **Information Items** – None.

<u>Adjournment</u> – Moved by Sloan, seconded by Kent and carried to adjourn at 6:34pm. Brenda Zeman, City Clerk